

Group 2: Tools & Services

Group members:

A. Agrawal (Northwestern U); N. Ferrier (Argonne NL); M. Giovani (U of Chicago); V. Hegde (Northwestern U); W. Joost (DOE); K. McHenry (NCSA); C. Toher (Duke U); J. Warren (NIST); H. Wu (U of WI); M. Zentner (Purdue U)



What are primary challenges for materials tools & services?

in terms of 1) the materials research community; 2) industry 3) other materials tools and services

- *Materials Research Community*
 - *Representing Methods (missing metadata)*
 - *Avoiding disruption of existing workflows*
 - *Representation of measurement*
 - *Should have incentives*
 - *Benchmark tests for experiment and simulation*
 - *Uncertainties are not being quantified*
 - *Quality assessment tools*
 - *Flexible data models*
 - *Managing expectations across communities*
 - *Diversity of choice and sunk costs*
 - *Updating after share challenges*
- *Industry*
 - *Licensing and the risks GPL*
 - *Weak security models*
 - *Current state of the infrastructure.*
 - *Prior understanding of the likelihood of success (obvious ROI)*
 - *Interested in hearing from Citrine on this*
 - *Distance between industrial practice and cutting edge academic results (particularly in functional materials)*
- *Materials tools*
 - *What about group 4, what do they think?*
 - *Groups should be talking to each other*
 - *Competition versus Collaboration (a role for funding agencies)*
 - *Open versus closed model*

Key points of Group 2 discussion

- *Materials Research Community*
 - *Outreach / Collaboration*
 - *Representation Methods (missing metadata)*
 - *Representation of measurement*
 - *Licensing and the risks GPL*
 - *Barriers to adoption beyond the computer and materials science*
 - *Avoiding disruption of existing workflows*
 - *Diversity of choice and sunk costs*
 - *Should have incentives besides forcing someone to do it with money*
 - *Competition versus Collaboration (a role for funding agencies)*
 - *Quality*
 - *Benchmark tests for experiment and simulation*
 - *Uncertainties are not being quantified*
 - *Assessment tools*
- *Industry*
 - *Weak security models*
 - *Interested in hearing from Citrine on this*
 - *Distance between industrial practice and cutting edge academic results (particularly in functional materials)*
- *Materials tools*
 - *What about group 4, what do they think? Remit of infrastructure*
 - *Groups should be talking to each other*

Group 2

proposed low barrier activity

- *Benchmark tests for experiment and simulation*
 - Phase Field example
 - What are “good experiments”
 - Multi-flavor DFT comparisons for formation energies

Requirements/needs/collaborations to accomplish Group 2 activity

- Leadership (OQMD has graciously volunteered)
- Cookies
- Small amount of glue money